# THIS DECISION HAS BEEN APPEALED. THE FOLLOWING IS THE RELATED SOAH DECISION NUMBER:

### SOAH DOCKET NO. 453-03-4456.M2

#### NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

July 3, 2003 RE: M2-03-1256-01 MDR Tracking #: IRO Certificate #: IRO 4326 The has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance (TDI) as an independent review organization (IRO). The Texas Workers' Compensation Commission (TWCC) has assigned the above for independent review in accordance with TWCC Rule §133.308 which allows for referenced case to medical dispute resolution by an IRO. has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. In performing this review, relevant medical records, any documents utilized by the parties referenced above in making the adverse determination, and any documentation and written information submitted in support of the appeal was reviewed. The independent review was performed by a physician reviewer who is board certified in anesthesiology and pain management which is the same specialty as the treating physician. The \_\_\_ physician reviewer has signed a certification statement stating that no known conflicts of interest exist between him or her and any of the treating physicians or providers or any of the physicians or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to the referral to for independent review. In addition, the reviewer has certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party to this case. Clinical History This patient sustained an on the job back injury on \_\_\_\_. He subsequently underwent a lumbar diskectomy

This patient sustained an on the job back injury on \_\_\_\_. He subsequently underwent a lumbar diskectomy and anterior laminectomy with interbody fusion at L4-5 and L5-S1. The patient currently has chronic lumbar pain and has been using the neuromuscular stimulator for control of pain and spasms.

#### Requested Service(s)

Purchase of the R54i 4-channel combination interferential and muscle stimulator unit

## **Decision**

It is determined that the purchase of the R54i 4-channel combination interferential and muscle stimulator unit is not medically necessary to treat this patient's condition.

# Rationale/Basis for Decision

It has been nine years since this patient's injury. Given the information received, it is more likely that the continued pain is a product of aging. It is unlikely that continued treatments of this sort will be effective. There are no long-term studies that document success for this type of problem. Therefore, it is determined that the purchase of the R54i 4-channel combination interferential and muscle stimulator unit is not medically necessary.

This decision by the IRO is deemed to be a TWCC decision and order.

#### YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within 10 (10) days of your receipt of this decision (20 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5 (c)).

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin Code 148.3).

This Decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed (28 Tex. Admin Code 102.4(h) or 102.5(d)). A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Workers' Compensation Commission, P.O. Box 40669, Austin, Texas, 78704-0012. **A copy of this decision should be attached to the request.** 

The party appealing the decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute (Commission Rule 133.308 (t)(2)).

Sincerely,

In accordance with Commission Rule 102.4 (h), I hereby verify that a copy of this Independent Review Organization (IRO) Decision was sent to the carrier, the requestor and claimant via facsimile or U.S. Postal Service from the office of the IRO on this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of July 2003.